SN/28/18

G R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1911-12

P-16

(Legislation)

File No. 52-11.

Serial Nos. 1-2.

Papers Filed.

Month June 1912

SUBJECT.

Claim of Narasamma, daughter of Dattu Rama Rao grandson of the late Dewan Purnaiya, for a fourth share in the Jahgir.

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.

N.K. Nagarkar
9/8/12

G.R.S. 11]

191 1-12

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Legis)

NOTES.

File No. 52-11

FILE HEADING.

Claim of Narasamma, daughter of Datta Rama Rao, the grandson of the late Dewan Purnaiya, for a one-fourth share in the Jahgir &c

From The Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja ~~Narasamma, East-Chitra street, Srirangam~~

No. 5831 Dated 8/6/12 Received 11/6/12

Diary No. of 3273 | Enclos. one | Spare Copies. — | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Forwards petition dated 4/6/12 from Narasamma daughter of Datta Rama Rao, the grandson of the late Dewan Purnaiya for a one-fourth share in the Jahgir &c

NOTES AND ORDERS.

~~Submitted~~ The Dewan's orders on the ~~petition~~ letter "Secy – To be worked up and submitted through the councillors early"

Intd J. A. R 9/6/12

✓ Submitted with an ~~Eng~~ abstract of the petition in English

NOTES—First Sheet.

[initials] 13/6/12

GPB—30,000—27-6-11

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legis File No. 52 of 1911

Serial No.	
	3 - This is a petition from Naralamma representative of the 5th Branch mentioned in Schedule B of the Yelandur Jahagir Regulation. She is one of the annuitants recognised in the Regulation and under sec 3(1) is entitled to an annuity of Rs 3600/- from the income of the Jahagir. She is the daughter of Dalta Rama Rao and is now living in Srirangam.
	4 - She contends in her petition that the Jahagir Regulation does not deal equitably with the claims of all the lineal descendants of Dewan Purnaiya and that it is not fair that one particular particular line of descendants should be favoured to the exclusion of others, and that the object of the late Maharaja Krishnaraja Wadeyar Bahadur III in granting the Jahagir was to see that all the descendants of Purnaiya whether male or female [illegible] lived in ease and comfort but that the present Regulation was passed by the late Sir Seshadri Iyer "in collusion

*. "[Telugu/Kannada handwritten note, illegible]"

Clearly a baseless allegation

13/6/12 Sd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs File No. 52 of 1911

with her husband who did not explain to her the exact nature of the provisions to be enacted and acted independently of her. She therefore now prays that the present Regulation may be superseded and a new one passed providing for the betterment of her position as follows:—

5 (1) She should be given a fourth share in the Jahagir instead of the annuity of Rs 3600/- awarded to her under Sec 310 of the Regulation, as (she says) the income from the Jahagir has since considerably increased owing to the introduction of Survey and Settlement and the expansion of the Excise revenue.x

x It may be stated here that the Govt have not passed orders on the claim of the Jahagirdar to the Excise revenue

SA

(2) She should be promoted from the fifth to the second branch and

(3) all disputes connected with the Jahagir should be disposed of by the Revenue Commr with a right of appeal from his decisions direct to His Highness.

6 - The papers that led up to

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—20,000—20-1-12

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs File No. 52 of 1911

Serial No.	

the passing of the Regulation are put up with the ~~Main~~ Main file and are not now available. Varadamma however herself mentions in this petition that she had filed a suit in the District Court ~~against~~ in 1882 against the late Sir P. N. Krishna Murthi for her share of the Jahagir income, as the heir of her mother who survived her father Dattu Ramchar. It is this suit that led to the immediate passing of the Regn.

7 If it is considered that action is to be taken to amend the Regulation all the descendants of Dewan Purnaiya mentioned in the Schedule or their representatives will perhaps have to be consulted.

8. A reference to the Res 7 will perhaps also be necessary ~~.~~

Subd to Secretary.

9- [The Dewan has ordered that the file shd be subd thro' Councillors.]

SA 13/6/12

10. Circulate to Councillors as ordered by the Dewan

[initials] 15/6

SA 15/6/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Legs File No. 52 of 1911.

Serial No.	
	Subd to Councillors.
	SN(11) 15/6/12
11	I do not think that anything can be done now to upset an arrangement which has been in force so many years. If further Consideration is deemed necessary, we may have this at a meeting - [signature] 16/6/12
	2nd Councillor [signature] 16/6
	[initials] 17/6/12
12	I do not think that we can do anything to alter the provision which has been made for her under the Regn. [signature] 18/6/12
13	Dewan [signature] 18/6 [initials] 19/6/12 She

1899 19-6.12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Secy Legis 52 of 1911-12 ... Serial (1)

FHH 388

J 3273 Sl. 1

No. 5831/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY TO
H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

DATED Mysore, 8-6-1912.

Petition No.1254 dated 4th June 1912, from Narasamma, daughter of Dattu Ramarao, Grandson of late Dewan Purnayya, East Chattar Street, Srirangam, is forwarded, with compliments, to the Dewan of Mysore, Mysore, for favour of disposal.

By Order,

I.C.S.,
Private Secretary.

B 1
10/6/12

11.6.12

iii 2.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue
Secretariat.

Legis File No. 52 of 1911-12

Serial No.	
14	She may be informed accordingly. [signature] 20 June 12
	[illegible]
	[initials] 22/6
15	Put up draft [initials]
	Legis 22/6/12
16	Submitted with a draft endorsement.
	[initials] (JB) SN(11) 1-7-12 29/6/12
17	Issue [initials] 1/7/12
2	No. of 35 dated 3/2/12
	To Narasamma daughter of the late Mr. Datta Rama Rao East Chitra Street Sreerangam
	Informs that Govt. cannot alter the provisions of the Yelandur Jahgir Regn. in the way prayed for by her.

I 5/7/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(2

1911-12

No. G 3273

Name of Petitioner Narasamma daughter of Datti Rama Rao grandson of the late Dewan Purnaiya

Village East Chitra Street Srirangam

Taluk Trichinopoly

District Tanjore

Date of Arzi 4/6/12

Date of Receipt 11/6/12

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure.

ABSTRACT

The petitioner says that her father was getting a one-fourth share in the income of the Yelandur Jaghir till his death in 1858. After that date the petitioner's mother Venkamma was getting that share till her death in 1870 when the petitioner claimed the share. But Sir P.N. Krishnamurthy withheld payment of the amount to her even after ~~[illegible]~~ repeated ~~[illegible]~~ requests from

MGCPB—5000—27-7-04.

9 fee. Therefore the petitioner
sued Sir P. N. Krishnamurthi
for the one-fourth share.
While the suit was pending
Sir K. Seshadri Iyer the
then Dewan with the consent
~~both~~ of the petitioners
husband without her being
consulted at all got the
Yelandur Jahgir Regulation
passed in 1885. The petitioners
husband died in 1889 when
she got all her husband's papers
examined when she found
that there was a great dif-
ference between the draft
regulation and the Regulation
itself and that she who
was entitled to one-fourth
share of the income was
to get only Rs 3600 a year
according to the Regulation
The petitioner thereupon
~~complai~~ protested against
the Regn before Sir P. N. Krish-
namurthi saying that the
condition therein contained
were against the spirit of
the orders passed from time
to time by Govt and also
opposed to the provisions of
the Hindu Law. Sir P. N.
Krishnamurthi then
replied that he would try
and get the Regulation
suitably amended and

afterwards used to give 4
~~me~~ her in addition to the
Rs 3600 provided by the
Regn. 1000 Rs or so from
time to time, ~~from ...~~
Again the Rs 3600 used
to be paid at the end
of march. But this was
changed to the end of
June all on a sudden
and ~~I~~ she thus lost Rs 900/-
which when demanded
Sir P.N. Krishnamurthi
used to put ~~me~~ her off by
saying that that amount
would be given along with
the next year's pension.
The petitioner now ~~prays~~ states
that as owing to the
small pension she gets
she finds it difficult
to maintain ~~for~~ her
position and live in
Mysore she has been
compelled to live in
Srirangam among strangers
and therefore prays ~~that~~
as follows:-
(1) That she should be
included in the 2nd Branch
enumerated in Sec: 3 (1)
of the Regn
(2) That all claims and
disputes ~~that~~ among the members
of the several Branches

6

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
1254
6 - JUN. 1912
TO H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

[illegible] 6.6.12

[illegible]

[illegible]

(1) [illegible] 1807 [illegible] 1812 [illegible]

(2) [illegible] 1858 [illegible]

(3) [illegible] 1866 [illegible]

7)

[illegible]
[illegible]

(4) 1870 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 12 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1882 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

(5) [illegible] 2100 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 1885 [illegible]
[illegible]

(6) [illegible] 1889 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Rs 3600-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] Rs 6000-0-0 [illegible]
Rs 10000-0-0 [illegible]
Rs 7000-0-0 [illegible]
Rs 1200-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 3500-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 1200-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible] Rs 6000-0-0 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 1885 [illegible]

[illegible] 3600 [illegible]

[illegible]

(7) [illegible]

(8) [illegible]

(9) [illegible]

9) [illegible]

(10) [illegible]

This mark of Narasamma Daughter of Dattaramaraiyer (Her Son –
– Ranga Sridhara Narasimachar)

4 – 6 – 1912

10

ನಂ. 735 3/7/12

ಹುಜೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜ ರಾಜಾ ಸಾ
ಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್‌ರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರು, ಈ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರಿಂದ

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ನಂಬರು ಚೆನ್ನಾಪಟ್ಟಣ ತಾಲೂಕು
ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಪೂರ್ವ ಚಿತ್ರ ಬೀದಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ವಾಸವಾಗಿರುವ ಲೇಟ್ ದಿವಾನ್ ಪೂರ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರ
ಮೊಮ್ಮಕ್ಕಳು ದತ್ತು ರಾಮರಾಯರವರ ಮಗಳಾದ
ನರಸಮ್ಮನವರಿಗೆ ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್

ಹೀಗೆ ಇರುವ ಎಕ್ಸಂಬಾರು ಜರ್ನಲ್
ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರನ್ನು ನಿಮಗೆ ವರಮಾನ
ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರಿನ ಕೆಲ ಪ್ರಭಾಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದ ಏದಿ
ಹೆಚ್ಚಿಗೆ ಬರುವಂತೆ ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡು ನೀಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್
ಮಾಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ಚೀಲ ಕೆಂಪು ನೀಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್
ಮಹಾರಾಜರಾಜಾ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ ಬಹದ್ದೂರ್‌ರವರ
ಸನ್ನಿಧಿಗೆ ಮಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ 4-6-1912
ನೇ ಇಸ್ವಿಯ ಅರ್ಜಿಯು ಪ್ರತಿವಾಯಿತು.

ಹೀಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಹೀಗೆ ಕಾಲಕ್ಕೆ ನನ್ನ
ಏರ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಇರುವ ತ್ರಿಮವನ್ನು ಬದಲಾಯಿಸಲಾ
ಗುವದಿಲ್ಲ ತಿಳಿಯತಕ್ಕದ್ದು

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

ಹುಕುಂ ಬಮೋಜಿಬ್

[signature]

ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರಿಗಾಗಿ

[signature]
(58)
29/6/12

[signature] (11)

[signature]